

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,698	01/09/2002	Isaac Bentolila	MNI020001	7739
24498 7590 12/07/2010 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC			EXAM	UNER
			SHELEHEDA, JAMES R	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/043,698	BENTOLILA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES SHELEHEDA	2424		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the maining date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANONED (30 KS, §133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patter from adjustment. See 3f CPR 17(4(b)).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2010</u> .
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers

o/ The opening alon to objected to	o by the Examiner.
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1.∟	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2424

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 17 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al. (Alexander) (6,177,931) (of record) in view of Rosser (6,445,261) (of record) and Bates et al. (Bates) (6,681,396).

As to claim 1, while Alexander discloses a method comprising:

selecting a plurality of demographic groups to associate viewers with (column 30, lines 17-38) including selected characteristics including historical data of actual viewers and historical actual electronic program guide data (column 29, line 14-column 30, line 44 and column 33, lines 8-15);

recording a viewer's monitor behavior with data item variables including watched channel (column 28, lines 30-52), watching start time (column 28, lines 30-52) and watching duration (column 28, lines 30-52):

Art Unit: 2424

associating a particular demographic group of the plurality of demographic groups with the viewer (column 30, lines 17-38);

from a server-side system, inputting historical data information regarding demographic information tagged to the viewer for the viewer's demographic group (receiving the viewer profile, including demographic data; column 33, lines 8-15, column 28, lines 11-21 and column 30, lines 17-37);

generating preferred program guide information (column 30, line 47-column 31, line 61) based on the historical data information for the viewer's demographic group and based on bias metrics column 29, line 31-column 30, line 37);

inputting the preferred program guide information for the demographic group (column 28, lines 30-52 and column 29, lines 31-55); and

at a client-side system (column 29, lines 14-21), associating the preferred program guide information with the viewer's monitor behavior (column 28, lines 30-52 and column 29, lines 31-55) and defining therefrom a knowledge base with demographic group cluster information of the viewer in terms of statistical state machine transition models (characterizing demographics and other information of the viewer based upon statistical analysis of the users viewing transition data; column 29, line 31-column 30, line 37), he fails to specifically disclose predetermined demographic groups including externally selected characteristics including historical data from a plurality of actual viewers and historical actual EPG data and wherein the monitored behavior includes a ratio of time watched to time available for at least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program.

Art Unit: 2424

In an analogous art, Rosser discloses a system for characterizing viewers which selects a plurality of predetermined demographic groups including externally selected characteristics (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41) including historical data from a plurality of actual so as to assign viewers to particular demographic groups (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41) for the typical benefit of taking advantage of additional external information which can provide for more accurate rules on profiling viewers and allow advertisers and providers to easily direct their content to the appropriate groups (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Bates discloses a system which monitors behavior including a ratio of time watched to time available for at least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program (Fig. 7; column 9, lines 24-50) so as to better identify programming desired by the viewer (column 9, lines 23-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander's system to include predetermined demographic groups including externally selected characteristics including historical data from a plurality of actual viewers and historical actual EPG data, as taught *in combination* with Rosser, for the typical benefit of taking advantage of additional external information which can provide for more accurate rules on profiling viewers.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander and Rosser's system to include wherein the monitored behavior includes a ratio of time watched to time available for at

Art Unit: 2424

least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program, as taught *in combination* with Bates, so as to better identify programming desired for later viewing by the user.

As to claim 5, Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose providing feedback information with the viewer's monitor behavior (providing customized content based upon the viewer's history; column 30, lines 45-58) by recording a click stream (recording the viewer's input commands; column 28, lines 30-67).

As to claim 8, while Alexander discloses a non-transitory computer readable storage medium encoded with a plurality of processor executable instructions for implementing a function of:

selecting a plurality of predefined demographic groups (column 30, lines 17-38), including selected characteristics including historical data of actual viewers and historical actual electronic program guide data (column 29, line 14-column 30, line 44 and column 33, lines 8-15), the demographic groups defined by viewing monitor information including watch date, watch start time, watch duration and watch channel, EPG data and associated demographic information (column 28, line 12-column 30, line 38),

associating a particular demographic group of the plurality of demographic groups with each viewer based on viewer monitor behavior (column 30, lines 17-38);

Art Unit: 2424

capturing state transitions by defining monitor behavior in a plurality of statistical state machine families each representing the viewing behavior of a particular demographic group (column 28, lines 11-52 and column 29, lines 31-55);

at a client-side system (column 29, lines 14-21), combining the statistical state machine families (column 29, lines 31-55) into global statistical state machines defined in a global probability density function based on the particular demographic group (combined interactions to statistically determine probable characteristics; column 29, line 31-column 30, line 37);

updating and reinforcing the global probability density function upon determining that a given probability has a higher confidence level than a previous probability density function (updating and reinforcing the determined probable characteristics; column 29, lines 21-30) based in part on bias metrics (calculated preference or 'bias' towards particular programming and topics; column 29, lines 31-67); and

outputting a global profile based on the global probability density function, wherein the global profile is suitable for determining programming content of a television server for classes of viewers (column 33, lines 8-15), he fails to specifically disclose predetermined demographic groups including externally selected characteristics including historical data from a plurality of actual viewers and historical actual EPG data and wherein the monitored behavior includes a ratio of time watched to time available for at least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program.

Art Unit: 2424

In an analogous art, Rosser discloses a system for characterizing viewers which selects a plurality of predetermined demographic groups including externally selected characteristics (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41) including historical data from a plurality of actual so as to assign viewers to particular demographic groups (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41) for the typical benefit of taking advantage of additional external information which can provide for more accurate rules on profiling viewers and allow advertisers and providers to easily direct their content to the appropriate groups (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Bates discloses a system which monitors behavior including a ratio of time watched to time available for at least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program (Fig. 7; column 9, lines 24-50) so as to better identify programming desired by the viewer (column 9, lines 23-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander's system to include predetermined demographic groups including externally selected characteristics including historical data from a plurality of actual viewers and historical actual EPG data, as taught *in combination* with Rosser, for the typical benefit of taking advantage of additional external information which can provide for more accurate rules on profiling viewers.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander and Rosser's system to include wherein the monitored behavior includes a ratio of time watched to time available for at

Art Unit: 2424

least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program, as taught *in combination* with Bates, so as to better identify programming desired for later viewing by the user.

As to claim 9, Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose wherein the state transitions represent a television viewer's recording a viewer's monitor behavior (column 28, lines 30-52) and the statistical state machines are selected from the group consisting of watched channel (column 28, lines 30-52), watching start time (column 28, lines 30-52) and watching duration (column 28, lines 30-52).

As to claim 17, Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose wherein the data items have a probability function with a confidence level (determining statistically likely characteristics of the viewer; column 29, line 56-column 30, line 37), the method further comprising:

updating the historical data information upon determining that a given data item has a probability function with a higher confidence level then a previous data item (updating and reinforcing the determined probable characteristics; column 29, lines 21-30).

As to claim 28, Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose wherein the associating the particular demographic group of the plurality of demographic groups with the viewer is based on the viewer's monitor behavior (column 30, lines 17-38).

Art Unit: 2424

As to claim 27, Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose wherein each of the demographic groups are defined by viewing monitor information including watch date, watch start time, watch duration and watch channel and associated demographic information (column 28, line 12-column 30, line 38),

As to claim 29, Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose wherein the viewer monitor behavior is past monitor behavior (column 29, lines 13-30).

As to claim 30, Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose wherein each of the plurality of demographic groups is selected based on predetermined criteria selected external to the server side system and the client side system (see Alexander at column 30, lines 17-38 and Rosser at column 11, lines 20-25).

As to claim 31, while Alexander discloses a method comprising: selecting externally generated groups defined by demographics including

historical data from a plurality of actual viewers and historical actual electronic program quide data (column 30, lines 17-38 and column 29, lines 12-30);

recording a viewer's monitor behavior with data item variables including watched channel, watching start time and watching duration (column 28, lines 30-52);

associating a particular group of the externally generated groups with the current viewer based on the current viewer's monitor behavior (column 30, lines 17-38):

Art Unit: 2424

from a server-side system, inputting historical data information regarding demographic information tagged to the viewer for the viewer's demographic group (receiving the viewer profile, including demographic data; column 33, lines 8-15, column 28. lines 11-21 and column 30. lines 17-37):

generating preferred program guide information (column 30, line 47-column 31, line 61) based on the historical data information for the viewer's demographic group and based on bias metrics column 29, line 31-column 30, line 37);

inputting the preferred program guide information for the demographic group (column 28, lines 30-52 and column 29, lines 31-55); and

at a client-side system (column 29, lines 14-21), associating the program guide information with the viewer's monitor behavior (column 28, lines 30-52 and column 29, lines 31-55) and defining therefrom a knowledge base with demographic group cluster information of the viewer in terms of statistical state machine transition models (characterizing demographics and other information of the viewer based upon statistical analysis of the users viewing transition data; column 29, line 31-column 30, line 37), he fails to specifically disclose externally selected demographic groups associated with external data, wherein the selected generated groups are predefined externally to the client side system and the server side system, including externally selected characteristics including historical data from a plurality of actual viewers and historical actual EPG data and wherein the monitored behavior includes a ratio of time watched to time available for at least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program.

Art Unit: 2424

In an analogous art, Rosser discloses a system for characterizing viewers which selects a plurality of predetermined demographic groups including externally selected characteristics, wherein the selected generated groups are predefined externally to the client side system and the server side system (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41) including historical data from a plurality of actual so as to assign viewers to particular demographic groups (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41) for the typical benefit of taking advantage of additional external information which can provide for more accurate rules on profiling viewers and allow advertisers and providers to easily direct their content to the appropriate groups (column 3, line 27-column 4, line 41).

Additionally, in an analogous art, Bates discloses a system which monitors behavior including a ratio of time watched to time available for at least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program (Fig. 7; column 9, lines 24-50) so as to better identify programming desired by the viewer (column 9, lines 23-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander's system to include externally selected demographic groups associated with external data, wherein the selected generated groups are predefined externally to the client side system and the server side system, including externally selected characteristics including historical data from a plurality of actual viewers and historical actual EPG data, as taught *in combination* with Rosser, for the typical benefit of taking advantage of additional external information which can provide for more accurate rules on profiling viewers.

Art Unit: 2424

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander and Rosser's system to include wherein the monitored behavior includes a ratio of time watched to time available for at least one program and a ratio of time missed to time available for at least one program, as taught *in combination* with Bates, so as to better identify programming desired for later viewing by the user.

 Claims 2-4, 10-13, 16 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander, Rosser and Bates and further in view of Grauch et al. (Grauch) (US 2005/0235318 A1) (of record).

As to claims 2 and 24-26, while Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose defining a knowledge base, they fail to specifically disclose calculating a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits, the parameterized transition matrix containing information of program transitions initiated by the viewer, and wherein the row number and column number of the element represent the first and second states.

In an analogous art, Grauch discloses a method of determining viewer's viewing habits (paragraph 11-13) which will define the knowledge base by calculating a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits (Clickstream Data matrix, 80; Figure 7 and paragraph 95), the parameterized transition matrix containing information of program transitions initiated by the viewer (Figure 7 and paragraph 95) and wherein the row number and column number of the element represent the first and second states (as each element is represented by the its corresponding "event record"

Art Unit: 2424

and "event ID" row and column; see Fig. 7) to provide an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources (paragraph 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include calculating a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits, the parameterized transition matrix containing information of program transitions initiated by the viewer, and wherein the row number and column number of the element represent the first and second states, as taught by Grauch, for the benefit of providing an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources.

As to claim 3, while Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose defining a knowledge base, they fail to specifically disclose defining at least two concurrent transition matrices including a channel matrix and a genre matrix.

In an analogous art, Grauch discloses a method of determining viewer's viewing habits (paragraph 11-13) which will define the knowledge base by calculating a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits (Clickstream Data matrix, 80; Figure 7 and paragraph 95), the parameterized transition matrix containing information of program transitions initiated by the viewer (Figure 7 and paragraph 95), including a channel matrix (Clickstream Data 80 Channel ID, Figure 7) and a genre matrix (Content ID Prevue Guide Data 82, Figure 7) to provide an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources (paragraph 11-15).

Art Unit: 2424

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include defining at least two concurrent transition matrices including a channel matrix and a genre matrix, as taught by Grauch, for the benefit of providing an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources.

As to claim 4, while Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose defining a knowledge base, they fail to specifically disclose defining the transition matrix as a two-dimensional matrix with transitions from television channels to television channels in temporal form.

In an analogous art, Grauch discloses a method of determining viewer's viewing habits (paragraph 11-13) which will define the knowledge base by calculating a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits (Clickstream Data matrix, 80; Figure 7 and paragraph 95) by defining the transition matrix as a two-dimensional matrix with transitions from television channels to television channels in temporal form (Figure 7 and paragraph 95) to provide an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources (paragraph 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include defining the transition matrix as a two-dimensional matrix with transitions from television channels to television channels in temporal form, as taught by Grauch, for the benefit of providing an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources.

Art Unit: 2424

As to claim 22, Alexander, Rosser and Grauch disclose wherein the parameterized transition matrix is in a temporal form (see Grauch at Fig. 7, indicating the clickstream matrix in temporal form, mapping user actions to time).

As to claim 23, Alexander, Rosser and Grauch disclose wherein the transition matrix includes a first matrix for TV watching activities (see Grauch at Fig. 7) and a second matrix for TV channel surfing (see Grauch at paragraph 15 and Fig. 7).

As to claim 10, while Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose defining a knowledge base, they fail to specifically disclose wherein the global profile represents demographic cluster information of the viewer in terms of a statistical state machine transition matrix.

In an analogous art, Grauch discloses a method of determining viewer's viewing habits (paragraph 11-13) which will define the knowledge base by calculating a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits (Clickstream Data matrix, 80; Figure 7 and paragraph 95) representing demographic cluster information of the viewer in terms of a statistical state machine transition matrix (Figure 7 and the information collected is what the system uses to determine demographic groups, paragraph 95 and 98) to provide an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources (paragraph 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include

Art Unit: 2424

wherein the global profile represents demographic cluster information of the viewer in terms of a statistical state machine transition matrix, as taught by Grauch, for the benefit of providing an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources.

As to claim 11, while Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose defining a knowledge base, they fail to specifically disclose wherein the state machines are defined in a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits, the transition matrix comprising an element indicating information of a program transition initiated by the viewer.

In an analogous art, Grauch discloses a method of determining viewer's viewing habits (paragraph 11-13) which will define the knowledge base by calculating a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits (Clickstream Data matrix, 80; Figure 7 and paragraph 95) wherein the state machines are defined in a parameterized transition matrix defining the viewer's viewing habits, the transition matrix comprising an element indicating information of a program transition initiated by the viewer (clickstream Data 80 Figure 7 and paragraph 95) to provide an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources (paragraph 11-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include wherein the global profile represents demographic cluster information of the viewer in

Art Unit: 2424

terms of a statistical state machine transition matrix, as taught by Grauch, for the benefit of providing an efficient system for collecting and combining a plurality of different information sources.

As to claim 12, Alexander, Rosser and Grauch disclose wherein the transition matrix includes a first matrix for TV watching activities (see Grauch at Fig. 7) and a second matrix for TV channel surfing (see Grauch at paragraph 15 and Fig. 7).

As to claim 13, Alexander, Rosser and Grauch disclose the computer-readable medium wherein the parameterized transition matrix is a two-dimensional matrix with transitions from television channels to television channels in temporal form (see Grauch at Figure 7 and paragraph 95).

As to claim 16, Alexander, Rosser and Grauch disclose at the client-side system associating the program guide information with the viewer's monitor behavior and defining therefrom a knowledge base with demographic cluster information of the viewer (see Alexander at column 29, lines 31-67) in terms of statistical state machine transition matrices (see Grauch at Fig. 7, paragraphs 0091-0094).

 Claims 6, 7, 14, 15 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander, Rosser and Bates and further in view of Konig (6.981.040) (of record).

Art Unit: 2424

As to claim 6, Alexander, Rosser and Bates fail to specifically teach the method and corresponding computer readable medium which comprises parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a pseudo hidden Markov process, and defining a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster and a top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction between the active behavioral clusters.

Konig, in an analogous art, discloses parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a double random pseudo hidden Markov process (Hidden Markov Model, column 28 lines 14-18), and defining a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster (any individual user model can also apply to a cluster of users, column 14 lines 61-52, user response is monitored, column 27 lines 1-11 and column 27 lines 49-55), and a top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction between the active behavioral clusters (the documents are evaluated using the user model to estimate the user interest column 29 lines 49-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a pseudo hidden Markov process, and defining a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster and a top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction between the active behavioral clusters, as taught by Konig, for the benefit of allowing the system to make accurate models of viewer usage in order to target the most relevant material towards the desired user or cluster of users, as suggested by Konig, see Col. 3, lines 45-Col. 4, lines 16.

Art Unit: 2424

As to claims 7 and 18, Alexander, Rosser and Konig disclose a method and corresponding machine readable medium which comprises defining the pseudo hidden double random process (wherein a hidden Markov process is double random; see Konig at column 28, lines 17-78 and column 3, line 45-column 4, line 16) with a plurality of dimensions and determining parallel statistical state machine transition events in at least two of three state categories including channel, genre, and title (see Alexander at column 29, lines 31-55 and column 28, lines 30-52).

As to claim 14, Alexander, Rosser and Bates fail to specifically teach the method and corresponding computer readable medium further comprising instructions for parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a pseudo hidden Markov process, and defining a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster and a top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction between the active behavioral clusters.

Konig, in an analogous art, discloses parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a double random pseudo hidden Markov process (Hidden Markov Model, column 28 lines 14-18), and defining a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster (any individual user model can also apply to a cluster of users, column 14 lines 61-52, user response is monitored, column 27 lines 1-11 and column 27 lines 49-55), and a top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral

Art Unit: 2424

clusters and an interaction between the active behavioral clusters (the documents are evaluated using the user model to estimate the user interest column 29 lines 49-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include instructions for parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a pseudo hidden Markov process, and defining a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster and a top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction between the active behavioral clusters, as taught by Konig, for the benefit of allowing the system to make accurate models of viewer usage in order to target the most relevant material towards the desired user or cluster of users, as suggested by Konig, see Col. 3, lines 45-Col. 4, lines 16).

As to claim 15, while Alexander, Rosser and Bates disclose a method and corresponding machine readable medium further comprising instructions for defining a plurality of dimensions and determining parallel statistical state machine transition events in at least two of three state categories including channel, genre, and title (column 29, lines 31-55 and column 28, lines 30-52), they fail to specifically disclose a double random process.

Konig, in an analogous art, discloses parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a double random pseudo hidden Markov process (Hidden Markov Model, column 28 lines 14-18), and defining a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster (any individual user model can also apply to a cluster of

Art Unit: 2424

users, column 14 lines 61-52, user response is monitored, column 27 lines 1-11 and column 27 lines 49-55), and a top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction between the active behavioral clusters (the documents are evaluated using the user model to estimate the user interest column 29 lines 49-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alexander, Rosser and Bates's system to include parameterizing the viewer's monitor behavior with a pseudo hidden Markov process, as taught by Konig, for the benefit of allowing the system to make accurate models of viewer usage in order to target the most relevant material towards the desired user or cluster of users, as suggested by Konig, see Col. 3, lines 45-Col. 4, lines 16.

As to claim 19, Alexander, Rosser and Konig disclose defining a low level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster (see Alexander at column 29, lines 30-67), and a top level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction among the active behavioral clusters (see Alexander at column 29, lines 17-37).

As to claim 20, Alexander, Rosser and Konig disclose wherein the pseudo hidden Markov process is a double random process (wherein a hidden Markov process is by definition a double random process).

Art Unit: 2424

As to claim 21, Alexander, Rosser and Konig disclose defining a low level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster (see Alexander at column 29, lines 30-67), and a top level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction among the active behavioral clusters (see Alexander at column 29, lines 17-37).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to JAMES SHELEHEDA whose telephone number is
(571)272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00AM 5:30PM.

Art Unit: 2424

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James Sheleheda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424